

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2004 (Small Entities)		Complete if Known	
		Application Number	09/914,046
		Filing Date	Oct. 1, 2001
		First Named Inventor	Liang XU
		Examiner Name	Marianne Nmn Dibrino
Group Art Unit		1644	
Total Amount of Payment	(\$ 475.00)	Attorney Docket Number	2444-105

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)

1. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized the required fees to
 Deposit Account Number 02-2135
 in the name of Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck

☐ Charge any Additional Fee Required Under
 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

☒ Applicant claims small entity status.

2. ☐ Payment Enclosed:

☐ Check

☐ Credit Card

FEE CALCULATION
1. FILING FEE

Fee Code	Fee \$	Fee Description	Fee Paid
2001	385	Utility filing fee	[]
2002	170	Design Filing Fee	[]
2003	265	Plant Filing Fee	[]
2004	385	Reissue Filing Fee	[]
2005	80	Provisional Filing Fee	[]

SUBTOTAL \$

2. CLAIMS

Total Claims	Independent Claims	Multiple Dependent Claims	Extra Claims	Fee	Fee Paid
[]	[]	[]	- 20** = [] x	\$ 9 = []	[]
[]	[]	[]	- 3** = [] x	43 = []	[]
[]	[]	[]	+ 145 = []		[]

**or number previously paid, if greater;

SUBTOTAL \$

FEE CALCULATION (continued)
3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Fee Code	Fee Paid	Fee Description	Fee Paid
2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	[]
2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	[]
1053	130	Non-English specification	[]
1812	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	[]
1804	920	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	[]
1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	[]
2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	[]
2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	[]
2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	[475.00]
2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	[]
2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	[]
2401	165	Notice of Appeal	[]
2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	[]
2403	145	Request for Oral Hearing	[]
1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	[]
2452	55	Petition to revive -unavoidable	[]
2453	475	Petition to revive - unintentional	[]
2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	[]
2502	240	Design issue fee	[]
2503	320	Plant issue fee	[]
1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	[]
1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	[]
1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement	[]
8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	[]
2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR .129(a))	[]
2810	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	[]
2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	[]
1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	[]
1504	300	Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal publication	[]
1505	300	Publication fee for republication	[]
1455	200	Filing an application for patent term adjustment	[]
1456	400	Request for reinstatement of term reduced	[]
Other fee (specify)			[]

* Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL

\$ 475.00

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if applicable)	
NAME AND REG. NUMBER	Barbara G. Ernst, Reg. No. 30,377		
SIGNATURE	Barbara G. Ernst	DATE	April 30, 2004
		DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USER ID	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. 09/914,046
Applicant Liang XU et al.
Filed October 1, 2001
TC/A.U. 1644
Examiner : Marianne Nmn Dibrino



Docket No. : 2444-105
Customer No. : 06449

Director of the United States Patent
and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement was issued in connection with the above-referenced patent application on January 2, 2004, in which the claims of the application were divided into 10 different groups. The examiner asserted that claim 1 of the application does not provide a technical feature that is distinguished over the prior art, as evidenced by a reference by Compagnon et al. The examiner characterized this reference as teaching cationic liposomes complexed with antibody-polylysine conjugates, i.e., nucleic acid-cationic immunoliposome complexes.

Prior to addressing the merits of the Restriction Requirement, Applicants respectfully request that the claims of this application be amended as reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.